

§ 655.1040

accordance with § 655.1020 of this part, the Chief Administrative Law Judge shall promptly appoint an administrative law judge to hear the case.

(b) The date of the hearing shall be not more than 60 calendar days from the date of the Chief Administrative Law Judge's receipt of the request for hearing.

(c) The administrative law judge may prescribe a schedule by which the parties are permitted to file a prehearing brief or other written statement of fact or law. Any such brief or statement shall be served upon each other party in accordance with § 655.1030 of this part. Posthearing briefs shall not be permitted except at the request of the administrative law judge. When permitted, any such brief shall be limited to the issue or issues specified by the administrative law judge, shall be due within the time prescribed by the administrative law judge, and shall be served in accordance with § 655.1030 of this part.

(d) Amicus curiae participation or intervention by interested parties may be permitted by the administrative law judge in his/her discretion pursuant to 29 CFR 18.10. If such participation is granted, the amicus curiae and/or intervenor shall serve all documents and be served by the parties in accordance with § 655.1030 of this part. In no event, however, shall such participation be permitted to delay the proceedings beyond the deadline specified in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section.

§ 655.1040 Decision and order of administrative law judge.

(a) Within 90 calendar days after receipt of the transcript of the hearing, the administrative law judge shall issue a decision.

(b) The decision of the administrative law judge shall include a statement of findings and conclusions, with reasons and basis therefore, upon each material issue presented on the record. The decision shall also include an appropriate order which may affirm, deny, reverse, or modify, in whole or in part, the determination of the Administrator; the reason or reasons for such order shall be stated in the decision.

(c) The administrative law judge, in accordance with § 655.940 (d) and (e) of

20 CFR Ch. V (4-1-05 Edition)

this part, shall impose upon the employer the burden of proving the validity of and compliance with the attestation.

(d) If the administrative law judge finds that the employer has failed to pay the required wage rate or has provided an attestation which is materially false, the judge shall order that the employer be disqualified from employing F-1 students.

(e) In the event that the Administrator's determination(s) of wage violation(s) is based upon a wage determination obtained by the Administrator from ETA during the investigation (paragraph (b)(6) of appendix A of subpart J of this part), the administrative law judge shall not determine the prevailing wage rate *de novo*, but shall, based on the evidence (including the ETA administrative record), either accept the wage determination or vacate the wage determination. If the wage determination is vacated, the administrative law judge shall remand the case to the Administrator, who may then refer the matter to ETA and, upon the issuance of a new wage determination by ETA, resubmit the case to the administrative law judge. Under no circumstances shall source data obtained in confidence by ETA, or the names of establishments contacted by ETA, be submitted into evidence or otherwise disclosed.

(f) The administrative law judge shall not render determinations as to the legality of a regulatory provision or the constitutionality of a statutory provision.

(g) The decision shall be served on all parties in person or by certified or regular mail.

§ 655.1045 Secretary's review of administrative law judge's decision.

(a) Any party desiring review of the decision and order of an administrative law judge shall petition the Secretary to review the decision and order. To be effective, such petition must be received by the Secretary within 30 calendar days of the date of the decision and order. Copies of the petition shall be served on all parties and the administrative law judge.